BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 2018 /2004

PETITIONER : Prakash Kumar Agrawal S/o Late Nand Kishore Agrawal, Aged about 29 years, R/o-P.O. Dewkar, Tahsil Saja Distt. Durg (C.G.)

P. R. No. 210/04
Presented by Shri Khuldhar Agrawal
dated 29.07.04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Education, D.K.S.Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector, Jagdalpur (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer, Jagdalpur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUNCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND OTHER APPROPRIATE WRITES / ORDERS / DIRECTIONS

47

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.2018 OF 2004

Petitioner : Prakash Kumar Agrawal

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Ms. Sudha Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.
Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORAL ORDER
(21st April, 2006)

The petitioner's father Shri Nand Kishore Agrawal died on 7-4-1999 in harness while working as Assistant District Inspector of Schools at Bhanupratappur (Durg) Kondal. The petitioner, being dependent-legal heir, filed an application for compassionate appointment on 1-7-2004. The said application was rejected by order dated 1-7-2004 on the ground that the father of the petitioner died before creation of State of Chhattisgarh, as such the same could not be entertained by the Government.

2. The Government of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 2-2-2006, has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the eligible dependant-legal heir of the employees, who died in harness between 1-11-1997 to 31-10-2000 for compassionate appointment.

3. Accordingly, the Government of Chhattisgarh is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2-

2-2006 and also in view of the order dated 20-12-2005 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

4. For the reasons stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal